

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,651	03/29/2005		Masayoshi Usui	F-8516	9517
28107	7590	04/21/2006		EXAMINER	
JORDAN 122 EAST		MBURG LLP	MILLER, CARL STUART		
SUITE 400		XEE I	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YOR	K, NY 1	0168	3747		
				DATE MAILED: 04/21/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		6					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/523,651	USUI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Carl S. Miller	3747					
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuent Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05.	January 2006.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-13 is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-13</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,14 and 18</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,2,14 and 18</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-17</u> is/are objected to.	Claim(s) <u>15-17</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		The state of the s					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documer 							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		ved in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	it of the certified copies not receiv	/ed.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar						
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail [Notice of Informal	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/4/05 & 1/27/06</u> .	6) Other:	 					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Art Unit: 3747

Claims 3-13 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on January 5, 2006.

In response to applicant's argument that his filing is under rule 371 and therefore should not be restricted since the PCT was not restricted, the examiner notes first of all that the MPEP at section 1850 does not say that the national case cannot be restricted but merely states that the criteria used for restriction must be the criteria set forth in PCT rules 13. 1 and 13.2. Applying this criteria, the examiner believes that the applicant has disclosed no "technical relationship" between the "special technical features" of the various embodiments. This is true because the various embodiments involve changes in the orientation and shape of the fuel rail and the positions of the injector mountings relative to the fuel rail's flexible walls. Apparently the applicant has discovered many different combinations of flexible-walled fuel rails that dampen pressure fluctuations within the rail.

The election requirement of the last office action is hereby made final.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/523,651

Art Unit: 3747

Claims 1-2, 14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Imura (US('273)) in view of Hassinger.

Imura teaches an embodiment, clearly shown in Figure 5D, that shows the long and short walls of applicant's flexible-walled fuel rail. The applicant will note that Claim 1 does not require that the injectors be mounted on the shorter wall or walls.

Hassinger teaches a non-return type fuel rail that is connected to the fuel tank with a conduit that runs between the back of the vehicle and the engine compartment in the front of the vehicle. Anyone of ordinary skill in the art would know to run this line under the floor the vehicle and not inside the vehicle. Safety considerations alone would dictate this location.

It would have been obvious to modify Imura by connecting the tank and the fuel rail as taught by Hassinger because both systems used non-return fuel rails and vehicle fuel tanks are commonly in the rear of the vehicle with the engine of the vehicle in the front of the vehicle.

Claims 15-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl S. Miller whose telephone number is 571-272-4849. The examiner can normally be reached on MTWTHF.

Art Unit: 3747

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen, can be reached on 272-571-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Carl S. Miller Primary Examiner